

Reply of Viet Nam to the Joint Communication from Special Procedures

Ref. AL VNM7/2017, dated 29 November 2017

The competent authorities conducted an investigation into the allegations mentioned in the Special Procedures' communication. The result of the investigation shows that those allegations do not correspond to the facts of the case, which are as follows:

EUHome Co., Ltd is the owner of the building situated at [REDACTED] (the Building). The company and [REDACTED] signed a rental agreement by which [REDACTED] rented Room n°. 201 of the Building to live and work there as from 03 August 2017. One of the terms of the agreement was that the tenant should not sublease or transfer the room to another person without prior consent of EUHome Co., Ltd.

On 12 November 2017, [REDACTED] manager of the Building found that a woman, who was later identified as Ms. Mai Khoi, and a foreigner had occupied Room n°. 201. Invoking the above-mentioned term of the agreement, [REDACTED] requested these two persons to leave the room. Ms. Mai Khoi protested vigorously and insisted that [REDACTED] did not have the right to make such request. Afterwards, Ms. Mai Khoi and the foreigner left the building.

On 28 November 2017, [REDACTED] and EUHome Co. Ltd. signed a minutes to terminate their rental agreement.

It can be seen that this is a dispute involving Ms. Mai Khoi, [REDACTED] and the EUHome Co., Ltd.

The competent authorities of Viet Nam have never issued any performance ban on Ms. Mai Khoi.

The allegations mentioned in the Special Procedures' communication are therefore untrue and groundless.

Viet Nam hopes that the Special Procedures would take due note of information given by Viet Nam and would not rely solely on one-sided, unverified information./.